UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SORTO, et. al.	
	CASE NO. C08-00189 HRL
Plaintiff(s),	
V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
C.B. HANNEGAN'S, et. al.	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	_/
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the	following ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Private Process: Private ADR (nlease in	lentify process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
other requested deadling	ne
Dated: 7/24/2009	Adam Wang Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 7/24/2009	Michael Jones Attorney for Defendant

Case 5:08-cv-00189-HRL Document 41 Filed 07/27/09 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 27, 2009

HOV ARD R. LI DYD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE